

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,278	Applicant(s) SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner JASON L. SAVAGE	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

(1) JASON L. SAVAGE.

(2) Andrew Whitehead.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-6 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JENNIFER MCNEIL/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested incorporating the limitations from claim 5 into the independent claims 1 and 6 by Examiner's Amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance. The Applicant gave the Examiner to make the suggested changes by Examiner's Amendment..